

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS FO. Box 1450 Alexandria, Viginia 22313-1450 www.unpto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
09/936,959	11/28/2001 590 09/04/2003	Herbert Schulze	101215-69	2894		
Norris McLaughlin & Marcus 220 East 42nd Street 30th Floor			EXAMI	EXAMINER		
			NGUYEN, THUKHANH T			
New York, NY 10017			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			1722			
			DATE MAILED: 09/04/2003	DATE MAILED: 09/04/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				• f	4				
Office Action Summary		Application	n No.	Applicant(s)	1				
		09/936,959)	SCHULZE ET AL.	/				
		Examiner		Art Unit	1				
		Thu Khanh	T. Nguyen	1722	V				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address									
	d for Reply	V 10 0FT T	NEVELDE AMONE	TU(C) EDOM					
TH - - - -	SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' HE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply if NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period to Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing paramed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no ever y within the statut will apply and will e, cause the applic	ot, however, may a reply be ory minimum of thirty (30) expire SIX (6) MONTHS attention to become ABAND	e timely filed days will be considered timely from the mailing date of this co					
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on								
2a)		— nis action is r	ion-final.						
	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims								
4)⊠ Claim(s) 1-12 is/are pending in the application.									
,	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray		sideration.						
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-10 and 12</u> is/are rejected.								
	☐ Claim(s) 11 is/are objected to.		· .						
	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election re	guirement.	•					
	cation Papers		,						
9)	\square The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.		•					
10)	☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accep	pted or b) c	bjected to by the E	xaminer.					
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	e drawing(s) t	e held in abeyance	See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.									
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.									
12)[The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer.							
Priori	y under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120								
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).									
	a)⊠ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:								
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.								
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No								
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). ★ See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 									
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).									
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.									
Attachr		, ,	- 33	· - · ·					
2) 🔲 N	otice of References Cited (PTO-892) lotice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) lotice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) lotice of References Cited (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 6	;		nary (PTO-413) Paper No(nal Patent Application (PTC					

(**p**

Application/Control Number: 09/936,959

Art Unit: 1722

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

1. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: the specification should not refer to the claims, for the claims could be changed during the examination process.

Appropriate correction is required.

2. The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because the abstract discloses more than one paragraph and should contain no more than 150 words. Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 4. Claims 1-4, 6-10 and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Link et al (5,906,837).

Link et al teach a device for producing pressed articles, comprising a pair of exchangeable rotatable punches (2, 3), a stationary die plate (1), a spring member (9) disposed at the stem of the punch and connects the punch to a punch shaft (14, 15), regulating units (17-20) connecting to a controller (21) for controlling the linear movement and the rotational movement of the upper and lower punch units; wherein the device further comprises a serration surface with a tooth profile (col. 5, lines 64-67) connecting with the drive motors (5, 7) and interacting with

Application/Control Number: 09/936,959

Art Unit: 1722

the punch circumference; the punches (2, 3) are supported by a soft and resilient bearing to prevents damage to the device during penetration of the punches into the die (col. 3, lines 14-20).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claim 5 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Link et al ('837) as applied to claims 1-4 and 6-12 above, and further in view of Barna (4,487,566).

Link et al fail to disclose a seal between the punch shaft and the punch.

Barna discloses a punching tool having interchangeable punches, comprising a plurality of press units (10), each having an upper and lower punch tool (14, 16) having a punch (54) and a punch shaft (58), a seal member (75) located between the punches and the punch shafts to prevent the material getting into a bearing bore (col. 6, lines 48-51).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the applicant's invention was made to modify Link et al by providing a seal member between the punch and the punch shaft as taught by Barna, because the seal member would prevent the material leaking into . the die system; thus, eliminate the contamination during the molding process.

Application/Control Number: 09/936,959

Art Unit: 1722

Allowable Subject Matter

Page 4

7. Claim 11 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

8. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: the prior art fails to teach or suggest a rotary press comprises several springs elements lying one behind the other at the external component in the movement direction of the punch and arranged in a comb-like manner.

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Thu Khanh T. Nguyen whose telephone number is 703-305-7167. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday- Friday, 6:30-4:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Wanda L Walker can be reached on 703-308-0457. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0661.

TN

ROBERT DAVIS
PRIMARY EXAMINER
GROUP 1399 (700)

8/29/07